# The Role of The State in Building a Competitive Economy: Political Economy of Innovations

Velibor Mačkić, University of Zagreb

Domagoj Račić, Knowledge Network & University of Zagreb

Vladimir Cvijanović, European Future Innovation Systems Centre, Brussels

SmartEIZ Conference, Zagreb, 26 September 2018

### Motivation and research goal

- The state as an enabler vs. the state as a "bottleneck" in creating a competitive economy (that is associated with increasing productivity and innovation performance)
- Many EU economies (and post-socialist countries in particular) are burdened by low government effectiveness, as well as cronyism and familism
- Exploring the relationship between these constraints and innovation performance
- Do different levels of innovation activities vary in a systematic way with respect to institutional surroundings and incentives provided by the state?
- What are the repercussions on smart specialisation strategy (S3)?

### Dependent variable

- Summary Innovation Index 2016 (SII 2016)
- Measures countries' innovation performance average performance over all the individual indicators
- 3 sub-groups of indicators
- 1. Enablers (human resources; open, excellent and attractive research systems; finance and support),
- 2. Firm activities (firm investments; linkages & entrepreneurship; intellectual assets)
- 3. Outputs (innovators, economic effects)

# Independent variables

• **cronyism** = government officials favouring well-connected firms and individuals when deciding upon policies and contracts (source: GCR)

• familism = appointment of senior management positions on the basis of personal ties (as opposed to merit and qualifications) (source: GCR)

• government effectiveness = perception of the quality of the policy process and public services, independence from political pressures (source: WGI)

# Sample, time period and methodology

### Sample

- ⇒28 EU member states
- ⇒6 sub-samples based on the VoC literature

### Time period

⇒2007-2016

### Methodology

- ⇒Dynamic panel data analysis
- ⇒9 estimated models in total due to correlation issues
- Limitations of the study: relative short time period, inputs based on survey questionnaires

### Groups of countries

- Division based on VoC literature (Amable, 2003; Bohle and Greskovits, 2012)
- Post-socialist countries: Bulgaria, Croatia, Czech Republic, Estonia, Hungary, Latvia, Lithuania, Poland, Romania, Slovak Republic, Slovenia
- Višegrad group based capitalism: Czech Republic, Hungary, Poland, Slovak Republic
- Weak states capitalism: Bulgaria, Croatia, Romania
- Market based capitalism: Estonia, Latvia, Lithuania, UK
- Mediterranean capitalism: Cyprus, Greece, Italy, Malta, Portugal, Spain
- Continental European capitalism: Austria, Belgium, France, Germany, Ireland, Netherlands, Slovenia
- Social-democratic capitalism: Denmark, Finland, Sweden

# Results – descriptive statistics

	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
SII	224	.458378	.1484379	.1796093	.7216888
CRONYISM	280	3.584546	1.047127	1.856262	6.046859
FAMILISM	280	4.870993	.8881567	3.274114	6.463518
GVT_EFFECT	252	1.130358	.5901903	355744	2.358699
GE R&D	252	.1978175	.0918838	.01	.43
GDP	270	12.05397	1.553771	8.630683	14.94776
DEBT	280	62.72786	35.17712	3.7	179.7
PS_CRO	280	1.08767	1.392266	0	4.232441
VC_FAM	280	.6421631	1.586845	0	5.394471
VC_GOVTEFFCT	280	.0980975	.2620869	0	1.051496
SD_ GOVTEFFCT	280	.196759	.6053961	0	2.358699
MBC_CRO	280	.5082444	1.269295	0	4.587958
MBC_ GOVTEFFCT	280	.1361909	.3770148	0	1.738755

	1 <sup>st</sup> model	2 <sup>nd</sup> model
L.SII	0.29	0.04
	(0.37)	(0.40)
CRONYISM	-0.05	
	(0.02)**	
GE R&D	-0.65	-0.60
	(0.26)**	(0.33)*
GDP	0.02	0.04
	(0.04)	(0.04)
DEBT	-0.00	0.00
	(0.00)	(0.00)
GOVT_EFFCT		-0.13
		(0.05)**

- Only models that satisfy statistical requirements (Sargan and AR(2) tests) are the ones with CRONYISM (1st model) and GVT\_EFFECT (2nd model) estimated for EU28
- negative relationship between summary innovation index and our two main institutional variables (*CRONYISM* and *GVT\_EFFECT*),
- negative sign regarding the level of government expenditures on R&D, meaning that higher government expenditures lower the level of SII.

	3 <sup>rd</sup> model	7 <sup>th</sup> model	9 <sup>th</sup> model
L.SII	0.13	0.13	0.48
	(0.30)	(0.29)	(0.28)*
CRONYISM	-0.01	-0.04	-0.04
	(0.02)	(0.01)**	(0.02)**
GE R&D	-0.55	-0.38	-0.51
	(0.27)**	(0.19)**	(0.23)**
GDP	0.06	0.01	0.01
	(0.03)*	(0.03)	(0.03)
DEBT	0.00	0.00	-0.00
	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)
CRO_PS	0.13		
	(0.05)***		
MBC_CRO		0.13	
		(0.04)***	
WSC_CRO			0.13
			(0.06)**

- the effect of *CRONYISM* in the group of post-socialist countries
- ✓ positive correlation between GDP and SII
- ✓ negative relationship between government sector expenditure on R&D (% of GDP), and SII
- ✓ positive correlation between SII and CRO\_PS (cronyism in post-socialist countries)

### **Implications:**

- 1. CRONYISM clearly shows some non-linear characteristics;
- 2. Further analysis in which post-socialist countries is this effect positive?

	3 <sup>rd</sup> model	7 <sup>th</sup> model	9 <sup>th</sup> model
L.SII	0.13	0.13	0.48
	(0.30)	(0.29)	(0.28)*
CRONYSM	-0.01	-0.04	-0.04
	(0.02)	(0.01)**	(0.02)**
GE R&D	-0.55	-0.38	-0.51
	(0.27)**	(0.19)**	(0.23)**
GDP	0.06	0.01	0.01
	(0.03)*	(0.03)	(0.03)
DEBT	0.00	0.00	-0.00
	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)
CRO_PS	0.13		
	(0.05)***		
MBC_CRO		0.13	
		(0.04)***	
WSC_CRO			0.13
			(0.06)**

- the effect of CRONYISM in the market based capitalism countries (Baltic countries and UK)
- ✓ negative relationship between government sector expenditure on R&D (% of GDP) and SII
- ✓ negative relationship between *CRONYSM* and *SII*, and positive between *MBC\_CRO* and *SII*
- the effect of *CRONYISM* in the **weak state** capitalism countries (SEE countries)
- ✓ negative relationship government sector expenditure on R&D (% of GDP) and SII
- ✓ negative relationship between *CRONYISM* and *SII*, and positive between *WSC\_CRO* and *SII*

	4 <sup>th</sup> model	5 <sup>th</sup> model	6 <sup>th</sup> model	8 <sup>th</sup> model
L.SII	-0.03	-0.25	0.39	0.43
	(0.40)	(0.43)	(0.31)	(0.24)*
GS	0.08	-0.08	0.05	-0.48
	(0.13)	(0.19)	(0.23)	(0.24)**
GDP	0.05	0.03	0.03	-0.00
	(0.04)	(0.04)	(0.04)	(0.03)
DEBT	-0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	(0.00)	(0.00)**	(0.00)*	(0.00)
GOVT_EFFCT		-0.22	-0.18	-0.09
		(0.06)***	(0.06)***	(0.04)**
FAMILISM	-0.09			
	(0.03)***			
VC_FAM	0.08			
	(0.03)**			
VC_GOVTEFFCT		0.40		
		(0.22)*		
SD_GOVTEFFCT			0.20	
			(0.07)***	
MBC_GOVTEFFCT				0.14
				(0.07)**

- the effect of GOVT\_EFFCT in the social-democratic capitalism countries
- ✓ non-linear relationship between *GOVT\_EFFCT* and SII
- the effect of FAMILISM and GOVT\_EFFCT in the Višegrad based capitalism countries
- ✓ non-linear relationship between *FAMILISM* and SII
- ✓ non-linear relationship between *GOVT\_EFFCT* and SII
- the effect of GOVT\_EFFCT in the market based capitalism countries
- ✓ non-linear relationship between GOVT EFFCT and SII

### <u>Implications:</u>

- 1. The size of the government is less important. It is the effectiveness of the government that matters.
- 2. Višegrad group familism occurs in countries that in the last quarter of a century experienced a significant transfer of FDI and know-how.

# Implications for S3

- S3 may improve governance (European Commission, 2017), but good governance is a prerequisite for S3
- Measures against cronyism and familism would enhance S3 (in both design and implementation phases) and also the overall innovation performance of a country or a region
- System of indicators that serve to analyse S3 should therefore incorporate variables measuring cronyism and familism

### Conclusions

- The paper provides contribution to the discussion of state to facilitate or hinder innovation activities, in the context of VoC
- For post-socialist countries addressing **cronyism** shows diminishing returns with respect to SII
- For Višegrad countries addressing familism through meritocracy has positive effects on innovation activities
- There are multiple equilibria regarding the relationship between government effectiveness and innovation activities
  - Market based and Višegrad countries vs. social-democratic countries
- Future lines of research could focus on alternative measures of innovation and alternative samples of countries

Thank you!

vmackic@efzg.hr